

20/279	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Matters Arising from the Committee Meeting: • A governor mentioned an email they had been received on 16 January titled "Governance Update". Everyone should have received this and it was felt that it contained some useful information that governors should read. 	
20/280	<p>Policies for Approval:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policies approved at committees:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pupil Premium Policy • Attendance Management Policy • Educational Visits Policy • Policies for approval at this meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Spirituality Policy – this is a new policy being introduced as part of the school action plan. Some suggestions were made and these were discussed, agreed and implemented. - The policy was adopted after these changes were made. 	
20/281	<p>Governor Application Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KSS application – LC has chased the PCC to find out what is happening regarding this appointment. KSS queried if it should be Corscombe PCC dealing with her application and DI is going to look into this. LC apologised for the length of the process however, it is out of her hands. • GL appointment – awaiting her reference before the paperwork can be sent to the Diocese. Unfortunately, she is away at the moment but DI will make contact once she has returned 	DI DI
20/282	<p>SATs Prediction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These were discussed at the P&S committee and it was felt that the FGB should be made aware of the predictions. The predictions were challenged at P&S but the school is open to further questions. The Key Stage 2 Reading, Writing and Maths predictions were challenged at this meeting as there is a large drop in the results but it was explained that this is due to our SEN in that year group. RC has reviewed Maths with the coordinator and there were no surprises in the predictions. 	
20/283	<p>Committee Structure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Buildings Committee meetings are often not quorate, the suggestion is that it be amalgamated with the Finance and Personnel Committee and renamed the Resources Committee. This was agreed and LC will amend the Terms of reference to reflect this. It was felt that it would be good practice to invite KS to any walk around the school to check for any improvements. 	LC

Signed.....Dated.....

20/284	<p>School Action Plan:</p> <p>Vision and Values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Priority 4 – The vision went out to parents and we received 3 responses which were very positive. It has also now been shared with the children. • Spirituality is being worked on currently • SIAMS SEF – this has been completed. It was mentioned there is some duplication however, governors did not feel this was an issue. • KMc confirmed the SIAMs inspection timetable. DI has changed his day to be able to demonstrate the work he does in school and we are grateful for this. The Governors meeting is at 2.30pm • Governors queried the school’s lack of accreditation marks but this is mainly due to the teachers’ workload. • The SIAMs training for the governors that was being looked in to is no longer necessary as this training is likely to be out of date by the next inspection. The PSA is not cost effective at the moment as it is cheaper to buy the training we need individually, with the school budget being under constant pressure this needs to be carefully monitored. It was felt that the PSA should be reviewed regularly and if it becomes financially viable it should be purchased so that foundation governors can go on courses that they feel would be of interest rather than only those that are necessary. 	
20/285	<p>Bell Tower (KR left during this discussion due to a prior engagement)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A history was given of the roof/ceiling issues that had previously occurred above Raven’s Class. Major work was undertaken a few years ago to ensure that parts of the roof did not continue to fall into the classroom. We have now been advised that the bell tower is a major cause of the damage which has resulted in a drainpipe being installed into the classroom. <p>The bell has not been in the bell tower for several years however, people feel that the village will be upset if the bell tower is removed.</p> <p>It was suggested that the bell be put in a frame on the school premises however, there is concern about it being stolen.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permission may be required if it is decided to remove the bell tower. • Cost - to keep the bell tower it would be approx. £3200 and to remove it will cost approx. £1200 and governors discussed this and asked if the work was done to repair the bell tower whether it would permanently fix the leaking issues and if not how long the repair would last? • KMc to query whether the cost of removing the bell tower would be including in the SCA bid. The school has no capacity to take on any extra fundraising for keeping the bell tower and KMc advised that a governor would be needed to take this project on. RC will take this on with the support of other governors. • It was agreed that this should be handled delicately as there are some strong feelings about the bell tower in the village. • It was felt that Evershot Parish Council should be given the opportunity to decide on behalf of the villagers if they would like to keep the Bell Tower however, if they decided 	RC

Signed.....Dated.....

	<p>to keep it then it would be their responsibility to raise the funds for it. If they want to keep it then they should be given a deadline of the summer holidays.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It was suggested that the school could put a leaflet in the Chimes and LP offered to find out the cost of this. • LS to ask Evershot Parish Council if there are any grants available 	<p>LP</p> <p>LS</p>
20/286	<p>Any other business:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A 	
	<p>Meeting closed at 6.56pm</p>	

Date of next Meeting : Monday 23 March at 5.30pm

Signed.....Dated.....